Exhibit 2

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO
3	In Re:) Docket No. 3:17-BK-3283(LTS)
4)
5	The Financial Oversight and)
6	Management Board for) Puerto Rico,) (Jointly Administered)
7	as representative of)
8	The Commonwealth of) Puerto Rico, et al.,) September 11, 2019
9	and
10)
11	Puerto Rico Electric) Power Authority,)
12	Debtors.
13	Deptois.)
14	
15	OMNIBUS HEARING
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
18	
19	APPEARANCES:
20	
21	For The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al.: Mr. Martin J. Bienenstock, PHV
22	Appearing in New York Ms. Laura Stafford, PHV
23	Mr. Paul V. Possinger, PHV Mr. Michael Firestein, PHV
24	Mr. Ehud Barak, PHV
25	

the allocation of federal funds and a supplemental Medicaid funding extension from Congress. Hopefully these meetings will help reset the overall relationship between the Federal Government and Puerto Rico, which is an important element in moving forward.

In summary, Your Honor, I think things are moving quite well on all fronts, and I'm very pleased that much progress has been made with the Oversight Board and its advisors. And I remain hopeful that it will continue ongoing as we move forward.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rapisardi, for that very comprehensive and encouraging report.

Before we turn to the Fee Examiner's report, I'd like briefly to address an additional topic that is not listed on the Agenda. I've seen media reports indicating that several so-called sources have provided media outlets with information regarding work by the mediation team and mediation participants that is being done pursuant to the Court's July 24th, 2019, Order, which is docket entry number 8244 in the 3283 case.

For example, on August 27th, 2019, Reorg Research released an alert titled, Commonwealth Mediation Expected to Kick Off After Labor Day Weekend, Sequencing of Gating Issues Seen as Crucial to the Process. This article contains

information attributed to at least five unnamed sources, and includes what purport to be very specific details regarding the status of the ongoing mediation.

In a September 5th, 2019, article published in the Bond Buyer, a person described as an anonymous bondholder source familiar with the process provided alleged details about the current focus of the mediation efforts.

Since my June 2017 Notice and Order regarding the proposed appointment of the mediation team, that's docket entry number 329, a long time ago, in the 3283 case, the Court has made it abundantly clear that the parties' work with the mediation team is to be confidential, separate from the litigation process and out of the public eye.

The Notice and Order specifically stated that both the participants and the mediators will be bound by confidentiality. These principles were explicitly incorporated into the Court's Order Appointing Mediation Team, which was filed as docket entry number 430 in the 3283 case on June 23rd, 2017.

The mediation process was initiated as a voluntary one. In July of this year, I Ordered mediation of key procedural and substantive issues to give the mediators and the parties confidential space to work together candidly and efficiently to find common ground on priorities and lay the foundation for work toward a substantially consensual plan.

That mandatory work is to be entirely confidential. I do not want to learn details of its process as I proceed with the litigation matters that are still on my plate during the stay period.

I have set a particular reporting date and reporting structure. During the stay and as mediation continues through the course of these cases, I do not want to read about ongoing mediation matters in the papers. When anyone can read about the progress of ongoing mediation efforts in the press, the separation between the mediation and litigation processes is undermined. It is a basic, important premise of mediation that the parties and mediators can exchange information, whether in writing or orally, without a concern that that information will be reported outside of the mediation group, in the press or otherwise.

In light of the recently published articles, I'm deeply concerned that parties have forgotten or perhaps have chosen to ignore what was clearly stated in the original Orders designating and appointing the mediation team. Today I will issue a Supplemental Order regarding the confidentiality of ongoing mediation efforts, and the Order will provide that if any additional breaches of mediation confidentiality appear to occur, the Court will not hesitate to take appropriate action to determine the source of the leak and to evaluate whether sanctions may be appropriate.